Fig. 1. Pectoral, Egypt, Second Intermediate Period, c. 1784–1570 B.C. Silver, gold, carnelian, and light blue and purple glass, L. 37.5 cm (14¾ in.), H. 11.8 cm (4⅜ in.). Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, Egyptian Special Purchase Fund, 1981.159.

Fig. 2. Construction of the cloisons in fig. 1. (Drawing: Andrew Boyce.)
An Ancient Egyptian Royal Pectoral

On November 26, 1922, when Howard Carter first opened the sealed doorway to the tomb of Tutankhamen, he was greeted by the sight of “gold—everywhere the glint of gold.” Carter’s discovery is the most spectacular in the history of Egyptology, but it is also a sad reminder of what great treasures have been lost. Few other royal tombs still retaining a substantial amount of their original contents have been scientifically excavated and recorded. The great wealth buried with the pharaohs proved irresistible to plunderers, who often robbed burials as soon as the tomb was sealed.

By an odd chance some contemporary court accounts have survived which describe the activities of tomb robbers who operated in western Thebes during the late Ramesside period (c. 1110 B.C.). Of particular interest, the Leopold-Amherst Papyrus records the testimony of the thieves who plundered the tomb of King Sekhemre Shedtawy Sobekemsaf II and Queen Nubkhas of the Seventeenth Dynasty (c. 1610–1601 B.C.). The thieves confessed that they had broken into this tomb and had

found the noble mummy of the sacred king... [and] numerous golden amulets and ornaments were on his breast and a golden mask was over his face. The noble mummy of the king was entirely bedecked with gold and his coffins were embellished with gold and silver, both inside and out, and inlaid with precious stones. We collected the gold, together with the amulets and jewels that were about him and the metal that was on his coffins. We found the queen in the same state and retrieved all that we found upon her. Then we set fire to their coffins. We took the furnishings that were found with them, comprising objects of gold, silver and bronze, and divided the spoils amongst us.

Another important document of the period, Papyrus Abbott, records the accounts of Ramesside officials who, prompted by the discovery of the thefts, checked a number of tombs in the burial ground of western Thebes, including the pyramid of Sobekemsaf II. They reported that the “burial chamber was found empty of its lord, and likewise the burial chamber of the great royal wife Nubkhas.”

Cyril Aldred, the greatest authority on the subject, has noted: “... it is in the nature of a miracle that any ancient Egyptian jewellery should have survived reasonably intact into modern times.” The paucity of examples has made the study of these precious objects extremely difficult, particularly with regard to the date, the function, and even the authenticity of specimens that have not been derived from archaeological excavation.

Such a piece, dazzling yet enigmatic, was acquired by the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, in 1981 (fig. 1). Composed of silver, gold, carnelian, and colored glass, this ornament is of considerable size for an example
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Fig. 3. Composition of the inlay in fig. 1. (Drawing: Andrew Boyce.)

Fig. 4. Reverse of fig. 1. (Drawing: Andrew Boyce.)
LACOVARA: An Egyptian Royal Pectoral of Egyptian goldwork. It depicts an Egyptian vulture (*Vultur percnopterus*) with its wings outstretched, and grasping in its talons two round *shen* signs (⊙), symbols of universal power. To the left of the body of the bird, an Egyptian cobra (*Naja haje*) rears back, with its hood extended and its tail curling around itself, as if ready to strike.

In ancient Egyptian iconography the vulture is the symbol of Nekhbet, the goddess of Upper Egypt, and the cobra is a representation of the goddess Wadjet, a deity of Lower Egypt. This heraldic composition signified the union of the "two lands"; one of the standard insignias of the pharaoh, it appeared frequently on royal jewelry and other objects.

The ornament discussed here has a silver backplate measuring slightly less than one millimeter in thickness. This consists of three separate segments, which represent the wings and body of the bird. Silver *cloisons* (partitions) soldered onto the backplate were masked with gold foil about three millimeters in thickness (fig. 2). This technique is found sporadically in Egyptian goldwork and may simply reflect a desire to economize on material. Alternatively, it has been noted that the symbolism of gold — "the flesh of the gods" — covering silver — "the bones of the gods" — may be represented here. The relative values of gold and silver seem to have fluctuated over time, with silver generally having been considered of higher value before the New Kingdom (c. 1570-1070 B.C.).

It is interesting to note, however, the large-scale importation of silver in the later Middle Kingdom (c. 1842-1794 B.C.), which is evidenced by the existence of the Tod treasure, a group of imported precious materials consisting primarily of a number of silver vessels that had been crushed and folded for the purpose of reusing the metal.

The inlays mounted in the silver *cloisons* of the present piece consist of carnelian as well as both light blue and purple glass (fig. 3). The carnelian inlays were cut to fit the tips of the tailfeathers, the axillary and secondary feathers, and the centers of the *shen* signs. Carnelian was also alternated with other colored elements in the pattern of scales on the breast of the vulture and the hood of the cobra.

Unfortunately, the glass has deteriorated, partially losing its color. In imitation of turquoise, light blue glass was used in the areas of the tail, the secondary feathers, and the undersides of the wings, as well as to delineate the foredge feathers. In addition, glass of the same color appears as part of the pattern in the body of the bird, the leg feathers, and the surrounds of the *shen* signs. Glass that had apparently once been purple was used, in imitation of lapis, to form the head of the vulture, the borders of the undersides of the wings, the foredge feathers, the primaries, and the claws. This darker glass was alternated with the light blue in the leg feathers and the breast of the vulture, the hood of the cobra, and the surrounds of the *shen* signs.
The light blue glass was cut to fit in the cloisons and set into the cells with a cement made of gypsum colored with Egyptian blue; in the areas of carnelian inlay, yellow or orange pigment was layered over this blue cement. The purple glass inlays were treated in a very different manner. They appear to have been set into the cloisons in a semimolten state by a process developed early in the evolution of true enameling.

Although images of vultures and cobras are frequently found on royal jewelry, this particular object was not an item for personal adornment. Its backplate is plain (fig. 4), without such chased representation of the design on the obverse as would be found in similar ornaments intended for wear. Its three distinct pieces were not joined together, and it was originally curved laterally, the ends of the wings having been fractured and flattened in modern times, presumably in order to mount it (fig. 4). The original curve indicates that it fit the breast of an anthropoid coffin, and the edges of the backplate are pierced with holes, some of which still have the pins that most likely served to mount the piece on the wooden core of the coffin. Commonly found on the chests of anthropoid coffins, such winged motifs are known as pectorals, as are similar items of jewelry actually worn on the breast and suspended from the neck.

The Boston pectoral appears to have originally been acquired by George Alfred Stone, a civil engineer from Roxbury, Massachusetts, who purchased it at Sheikh Abd el-Qurna in western Thebes in 1858. Stone was told by the local dealer that in a "rock-cut tomb were found the mummy of a royal personage . . . wrapped in linen as fine as silk, a papyrus five feet long, a golden spread-eagle and a tablet representing the king in his war chariot" along with a scarab inscribed with the name of Shoshenk III. In addition, in an upper room of the tomb were discovered "four alabaster funerary jars with figure heads of the four genii and covered with hieroglyphics [sic], enclosed in a box of hard yellow wood". The set of so-called canopic jars appears to have been purchased by Henry John Douglas-Scott-Montagu (later to become first Baron Montagu of Beaulieu). Although he confirms Stone's description of the burial, Lord Montagu mistakenly refers to the pectoral as a "golden scarab with extended wings."

The pectoral, papyrus, tablet, and scarab were acquired and brought to America by Stone. He later moved to Brooklyn, where he seems to have fallen on hard times. His wife, perhaps superstitious about these strange objects, eventually dispersed the collection, offering the scarab to the Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, in 1890. Although the scarab purportedly dates to the reign of Shoshenk III (c. 835–783 B.C.), the papyrus was inscribed with texts from the Book of Breathings which date to the Ptolemaic period (c. 332–31 B.C.). It appears, then,
that this was not a true group at all, but an odd lot assembled by a clever dealer to extract a higher price, as was often the case.\textsuperscript{32}

Given the mysterious provenance of the piece, as with many unexcavated objects, the pectoral has been difficult to date, and has been attributed to various periods ranging from the late Twelfth Dynasty (c. 1991-1786 B.C.)\textsuperscript{32} to the Ramesside period (c. 1293-1070 B.C.).\textsuperscript{33} Whereas similar inlay compositions from later times in Egyptian history are well known,\textsuperscript{34} the technique of using stone and faience in such inlay work can actually be dated back to the Old Kingdom (c. 2630-2250 B.C.).\textsuperscript{35} Even a Levantine provenance for the piece has been advanced,\textsuperscript{36} but this is unlikely, since the inclusion of Egyptian blue and native gold,\textsuperscript{37} though not absolutely conclusive, does suggest indigenous manufacture. Stylistically, the pectoral is far more sophisticated than Near Eastern adaptations of Egyptian motifs, such as the Egyptianizing ornaments found in the royal tombs at Byblos; those items exhibit a provincial style and crude technique not evident in this piece.\textsuperscript{38}

Cyril Aldred has astutely observed that the pattern of the vulture's wing suggests a date earlier than the New Kingdom for this piece. He has noted that Middle Kingdom representations of a vulture with outstretched wings depict the bird naturalistically (fig. 6).\textsuperscript{39} The vulture is shown therein as if in flight and viewed from below, with its breast in the center and the elaborate pattern of the underside of its wings, the undulating linings, the wrist, and the foredge feathers surrounded by the primary and secondary feathers.
Fig. 6. Vulture, detail from a pectoral of Queen Mereret, Middle Kingdom, Twelfth Dynasty (c. 1991–1784 B.C.). Cairo Museum, CG 52003. [Drawing: Yvonne Markowitz.]

Fig. 7. Vulture and cobra, detail of a pectoral from a rishi coffin, Second Intermediate Period, Seventeenth Dynasty (c. 1668–1570 B.C.). Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York, MMA 12.181.301. [Drawing: Yvonne Markowitz.]

Fig. 8. Vulture and cobra, detail from a Ramesside pectoral, New Kingdom, Nineteenth Dynasty (c. 1293–1185 B.C.). Musée du Louvre, E. 79. [Drawing: Yvonne Markowitz.]

Fig. 9. Vulture, detail from the back of a throne from the tomb of Tutankhamen, New Kingdom, Eighteenth Dynasty, reign of Tutankhamen (c. 1334–1325 B.C.). Cairo Museum, JE 62030.
The hood of the cobra is not decorated with the symbol of the goddess Neith (𓊳𓊱), a hieroglyph representing two bows tied in a bundle. This glyph was commonly, although not always, used as a decorative element on the hood of the uraeus in works of the New Kingdom (c. 1570–1070 B.C.), but was often absent from those of the late Middle Kingdom and the Second Intermediate Period (c. 1784–1570 B.C.; see figs. 7, 10). The concentric circles of the upper hood, rather than the frilled full-circle border commonly found thereupon in the New Kingdom and later, also point to an earlier date. The curlicued tail of the uraeus is another stylistic feature of the Second Intermediate Period, as opposed to later times (see figs. 8, 11).

During the New Kingdom, the vulture was generally rendered in a more highly stylized manner, with the simpler pattern of the upper wing presented in combination with the underside of the bird (fig. 5). However, more naturalistic depictions of the vulture did occur sporadically in the New Kingdom, especially in the Amarna period (c. 1350–1334 B.C.; fig. 9).

It has also been observed that in the New Kingdom the head of the cobra became larger in proportion to its body. A similar enlargement can also be seen in the representations of vultures of that period, wherein the head of the bird is again shown in a scale disproportionate to the body and deviating from the canon of earlier periods, even in less stylized versions (figs. 8, 9).

The point of this iconographic transition is clearly demonstrated in the so-called rishi coffins of the Second Intermediate Period (c. 1784–1570 B.C.). The Arabic term rishi (feathered) describes mummiform coffins on which an overall pattern of scales or feathers decorates the body (fig. 10). Many of the designs on the coffins of this turbulent era were royal motifs appropriated by private individuals, as was also the case in the First Intermediate Period (c. 2250–2061 B.C.). Thus, the symbol of the vulture and cobra frequently was found on both royal and private mummiform coffins in the Second Intermediate Period. During this era of transition, which marks a shift from the naturalistic rendering of these animals in the Middle Kingdom to the more stylized version of the New Kingdom, there was clearly a good deal of fluctuation in both the proportion of the heads relative to the bodies and the type of feather pattern on the wings. Some coffins have truly outlandish pectorals with absurdly large heads and erratic feather patterns (fig. 7).

In the case of one coffin, now in the Rijksmuseum van Oudheden in Leiden, the pattern of the feathers was completely replaced by an abstract series of circles, and the cobra and the body of the vulture were omitted entirely (fig. 11). The royal coffins of the Second Intermediate Period are similar in style, and show the same awkwardness of design, although they indicate that even as early as the latter part of...
this era the stylized, New Kingdom version of the motif had been adopted (fig. 12). With the advent of the New Kingdom, rishi coffins became the exclusive property of royalty, as they probably had been originally. Consequently, these royal coffins became more elaborate in design, with the hands and arms modeled in relief and the vulture-and-cobra pectoral replaced by a shrine-shaped (trapezoidal) one. Later, as on the coffins of Tutankhamen, vultures and winged cobras were depicted separately. In addition, the royal coffins of the Twenty-first Dynasty (c. 1070–946 B.C.) from Tanis and from the Twenty-fifth Dynasty (c. 757–656 B.C.) were decorated with a number of elaborate, winged deities rather than with the combination of cobra and vulture, further evidence against a later date for the Boston pectoral.

Unfortunately, no kingly burials have survived intact from the Middle Kingdom, but the coffins of court ladies excavated at Dahshûr, Lisht, Lahun, and Saqqara suggest that those burials must have been quite splendid. The Leopold-Amherst Papyrus describes the coffin of a Middle Kingdom monarch as being “inlaid with all kinds of precious stones.”

With regard to the glass inlays, their extensive use in the pectoral does not at first suggest a date in the Middle Kingdom or early in the Second Intermediate Period. However, much of the evidence for the early use of glass in Egypt appears to have been overlooked, and the jewelry of the Middle Kingdom, from the middle of the Twelfth Dynasty onward, shows an increasing reliance on faience and other substitute materials, including glass for precious stones.

The heraldic motif of the vulture and cobra clearly had great significance, and it is interesting that on the coffin of Sekhenenre Tao II (c. 1591–1576 B.C.), now in the Cairo Museum, the gold leaf was scraped off the entire body of the coffin, with the exception of the vulture and cobra on the breast and a band of inscription down the center (fig. 13). This was undoubtedly done with some vestiges of reverence by the priests of the Twenty-first dynasty, who reburied the king in the famous royal cache at Deir el-Bahri.

The coffins and jewelry of the later Seventeenth Dynasty (c. 1670–1570 B.C.) vary considerably in quality. For example, the Intef diadem, an inlaid silver fillet found in the coffin of Nubkheperre Intef (c. 1668–1663 B.C.), is fairly crude, and some of the material found in the burial of Queen Ahhotep, wife of Sekhenenre Tao II, is also of a lesser quality, though other objects from the same tomb are quite fine. The latter group, together with a few other ornaments of the same period which came from the area of Egypt controlled by the Hyksos, reveals that fairly adept workmanship still existed during the internal breakdown that characterized the Second Intermediate Period.

It is most likely, then, that the Boston pectoral derived from the...
la covara: an egyptian royal pectoral

fig. 12. pectoral, detail from the coffin of sekhemre wepmaat intef, second intermediate period, seventeenth dynasty (c. 1668–1570 b.c.). musee du louvre, e 3019. (drawing: andrew boyce.)

fig. 13. coffin of sekhenenre tao ii, second intermediate period, seventeenth dynasty (c. 1668–1570 b.c.). cairo museum, je 3893.

coffin of a king of this tumultuous period. this mummiform case would have been similar to that of sobekemsaf ii, which was described in the leopold-amherst papyrus. it appears that sobekemsaf’s coffin was much more splendid than the comparatively modest ones of sekhenenre tao ii (fig. 13)66 and ahhotep67 in cairo, nubkheperre intef in the british museum,68 and sekhemre wepmaat intef in the louvre.69

although the description of the elaborateness of sobekemsaf’s burial equipment may have been exaggerated,70 such elegance could explain why it was so attractive to tomb robbers, who generally plundered the richest tombs, leaving poorer ones untouched.71 thus, the boston pectoral may have come from a far more splendid type of coffin than that illustrated by the surviving mummy cases of the seventeenth dynasty. it is even possible that it came from the coffin of an earlier monarch of the second intermediate period;72 such a ruler could have been buried at saqqara, in the nile delta, or more likely, given the purported provenance, at thebes. it has been suggested that there, in the area around the necropolis at dra abu en-naga, may lie the tombs of kings of the late thirteenth dynasty.73

in any case, the pectoral could have survived robbery, reburial, or reuse, as did a number of other royal objects and burials from the second intermediate period and the early new kingdom,74 only to be rediscovered in the first half of the nineteenth century. at that time a number of the early tombs from dra abu en-naga were found during illicit excavations, and their contents dispersed on the antiquities market.75 only by carefully retracing their steps is it possible to begin to shed some light on this shadowy age of ancient egyptian history.
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